

SCFT for an Incompressible AB Diblock Melt in the Total/Exchange Formalism

Derivation Notes

1 Canonical model and microscopic densities

We consider a melt of n identical linear AB diblock copolymers in a periodic volume V at temperature T . Each chain is a space curve $\mathbf{R}_j(s)$ with contour variable $s \in [0, N]$. The A-block occupies $s \in [0, fN]$ and the B-block occupies $s \in [fN, N]$. Define the mean segment density

$$\rho_0 \equiv \frac{nN}{V} = \frac{1}{v_0}, \quad (1)$$

where v_0 is a reference segment volume.

Microscopic segment density operators.

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{fN} ds \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j(s)), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{fN}^N ds \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j(s)). \quad (3)$$

Incompressibility constraint. The melt is strictly incompressible:

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0 \iff \delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0]. \quad (4)$$

Gaussian chain model. The noninteracting (entropic) part of the Hamiltonian is

$$\beta U_0[\{\mathbf{R}_j\}] = \frac{3}{2b^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}_j}{ds} \right|^2, \quad (5)$$

with statistical segment length b and $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$.

Flory–Huggins contact interaction. Assume only A–B contact interactions:

$$\beta U_1[\{\mathbf{R}_j\}] = v_0 \chi_{AB} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (6)$$

Canonical partition function. Including the standard $n!$ and thermal wavelength λ_T prefactors,

$$Z_c(n, V, T) = \frac{1}{n! (\lambda_T^3)^{nN}} \prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j \exp[-\beta U_0 - \beta U_1] \delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0]. \quad (7)$$

2 Introduce total and exchange density operators

Define the two linear combinations (your “two new variables”):

$$\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}), \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) - \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (9)$$

These imply the inverse relations

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})), \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})). \quad (11)$$

Incompressibility in the new variables. Equation (4) becomes simply

$$\delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0] = \delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0]. \quad (12)$$

Interaction in the new variables. Using (10)–(11),

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r})^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2), \quad (13)$$

so the Flory–Huggins interaction (6) becomes

$$\beta U_1 = \frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r})^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2). \quad (14)$$

3 Use incompressibility to eliminate $\hat{\rho}_+$ from the interaction

Because $\delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0]$ enforces $\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0$ pointwise, we may replace $\hat{\rho}_+$ by ρ_0 *inside* any factor multiplying the delta-functional. In particular, (14) reduces to

$$\beta U_1 \rightarrow \frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (\rho_0^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2). \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$\exp[-\beta U_1] = \exp\left[-\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \rho_0^2\right] \exp\left[+\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] \quad (16)$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{\chi_{AB} \rho_0 V}{4}\right] \exp\left[+\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right], \quad (17)$$

where we used $\rho_0 = 1/v_0$ from (1).

4 Introduce the pressure and exchange fields w_+ and w_-

4.1 Fourier representation of the incompressibility delta

Use the standard functional Fourier representation

$$\delta[F] = \int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left(-i \int_V d\mathbf{r} W(\mathbf{r}) F(\mathbf{r})\right). \quad (18)$$

Applying (18) to (12) gives

$$\delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0] = \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \exp\left[-i \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r})(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_0)\right]. \quad (19)$$

4.2 Hubbard–Stratonovich decoupling of the $\hat{\rho}_-^2$ term

We use a Gaussian functional identity (Hubbard–Stratonovich type):

$$\exp\left(\frac{a}{2} \int_V \mathbf{dr} \phi(\mathbf{r})^2\right) = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2a} \int_V \mathbf{dr} W(\mathbf{r})^2 + \int_V \mathbf{dr} W(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r})\right]}{\int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2a} \int_V \mathbf{dr} W(\mathbf{r})^2\right]}, \quad a > 0. \quad (20)$$

Matching $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})$ and choosing $a = v_0\chi_{AB}/2$ (so that $a/2 = v_0\chi_{AB}/4$), we obtain

$$\exp\left[+\frac{v_0\chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V \mathbf{dr} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[-\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}} \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2 + \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})\right]}{\int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[-\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}} \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right]}. \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}}. \quad (22)$$

5 Rewrite the partition function and isolate the single-chain problem

Insert Eqs. (17), (19), and (21) into Eq. (7). Keeping the HS normalization explicitly (the denominator in Eq. (21)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c &= \frac{1}{n! (\lambda_T^3)^{nN}} \exp\left[-\frac{\chi_{AB}\rho_0 V}{4}\right] \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[\mathrm{i}\rho_0 \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_+(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j \exp\left[-\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - \mathrm{i} \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_+(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_+^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) + \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_-^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right) \right]^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\hat{\rho}_\pm^{(j)}$ denotes the contribution from chain j alone.

5.1 Convert density couplings into contour integrals

For each chain j ,

$$\int_V \mathbf{dr} w_+(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_+^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^N \mathrm{ds} w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)), \quad (24)$$

$$\int_V \mathbf{dr} w_-(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_-^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^{fN} \mathrm{ds} w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - \int_{fN}^N \mathrm{ds} w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)). \quad (25)$$

Therefore the chain-dependent exponential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &-\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - \mathrm{i} \int_0^N \mathrm{ds} w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) + \int_0^{fN} \mathrm{ds} w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - \int_{fN}^N \mathrm{ds} w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) \\ &= -\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - \int_0^{fN} \mathrm{ds} \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{i}w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s))\right)}_{\equiv w_A(\mathbf{R}_j(s))} - \int_{fN}^N \mathrm{ds} \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{i}w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) + w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s))\right)}_{\equiv w_B(\mathbf{R}_j(s))}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Definition of species fields from w_\pm . Motivated by (26), define

$$w_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathrm{i}w_+(\mathbf{r}) - w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (27)$$

$$w_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathrm{i}w_+(\mathbf{r}) + w_-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (28)$$

5.2 Single-chain partition function and normalized Q

Define the single-chain partition function in external fields w_A, w_B :

$$Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R} \exp \left[-\frac{3}{2b^2} \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{ds} \right|^2 - \int_0^{fN} ds w_A(\mathbf{R}(s)) - \int_{fN}^N ds w_B(\mathbf{R}(s)) \right]. \quad (29)$$

Also define the ideal-chain partition function (no external fields)

$$Z_0 \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R} \exp \left[-\frac{3}{2b^2} \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{ds} \right|^2 \right]. \quad (30)$$

The normalized single-chain partition function is

$$Q[w_A, w_B] \equiv \frac{Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B]}{Z_0}. \quad (31)$$

Because chains are independent given the fields,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j(\cdots) = \left(Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B] \right)^n = (Z_0)^n \exp(n \ln Q[w_A, w_B]). \quad (32)$$

6 Final field theory and effective Hamiltonian

Insert Eq. (32) into Eq. (23). Absorb all field-independent prefactors—including the Hubbard–Stratonovich normalization (the Gaussian denominator in Eq. (21))—into an overall constant \mathcal{N} . Then the canonical partition function can be written in the standard field-theoretic form

$$Z_c = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-]), \quad (33)$$

with effective Hamiltonian

$$\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-] = \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2 - i\rho_0 \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r}) - n \ln Q[w_A, w_B]. \quad (34)$$

Here w_A, w_B are the linear combinations of w_{\pm} ,

$$w_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) - w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) + w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (35)$$

as defined previously in Eqs. (27)–(28).

Reality of saddle-point fields. At the mean-field (SCFT) saddle, one typically finds

$$w_-^*(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w_+^*(\mathbf{r}) \in i\mathbb{R}, \quad (36)$$

so it is convenient to introduce a real pressure-like field

$$\xi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (37)$$

Then the real saddle-point species fields become

$$w_A^*(\mathbf{r}) = \xi^*(\mathbf{r}) - w_-^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B^*(\mathbf{r}) = \xi^*(\mathbf{r}) + w_-^*(\mathbf{r}). \quad (38)$$

7 Mean-field (SCFT) saddle-point equations

The SCFT approximation evaluates (33) by steepest descent:

$$\left. \frac{\delta \beta \mathcal{H}}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{\star} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\delta \beta \mathcal{H}}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{\star} = 0. \quad (39)$$

7.1 Functional derivatives of $\ln Q$ define densities

A key identity is that functional derivatives of $\ln Q$ generate single-chain densities. Define the mean segment densities (melt-averaged) by

$$\rho_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv -n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (40)$$

$$\rho_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv -n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (41)$$

(Equivalently, one may define volume fractions $\phi_K(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \rho_K(\mathbf{r})/\rho_0$.)

Using (27)–(28), the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = i \left(\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right), \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = -\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (43)$$

7.2 Saddle equation for w_+ : incompressibility

Differentiate (34) with respect to w_+ :

$$\frac{\delta \beta \mathcal{H}}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = -i\rho_0 - n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (44)$$

Setting (44) to zero and using (42) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -i\rho_0 - n i \left(\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right) \\ &= -i\rho_0 + i \left(\rho_A(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_B(\mathbf{r}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where we used (40)–(41). Therefore the saddle condition is

$$\rho_A^*(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_B^*(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0. \quad (46)$$

In volume-fraction form,

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 1. \quad (47)$$

7.3 Saddle equation for w_- : exchange self-consistency

Differentiate (34) with respect to w_- :

$$\frac{\delta \beta \mathcal{H}}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) - n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (48)$$

Setting (48) to zero and using (43) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) - n \left(-\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_A(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_B(\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

8 Standard propagator representation of ϕ_A, ϕ_B

To make ϕ_A, ϕ_B explicit, introduce the forward and backward propagators $q(\mathbf{r}, s)$ and $q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s)$, defined by the modified diffusion equations (MDEs).

8.1 Modified diffusion equations

Define the contour-dependent potential

$$W(\mathbf{r}, s) = \begin{cases} w_A(\mathbf{r}), & 0 \leq s \leq fN, \\ w_B(\mathbf{r}), & fN \leq s \leq N. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

Then the forward propagator satisfies

$$\frac{\partial q(\mathbf{r}, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{b^2}{6} \nabla^2 q(\mathbf{r}, s) - W(\mathbf{r}, s) q(\mathbf{r}, s), \quad q(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1, \quad (51)$$

and the backward propagator satisfies

$$\frac{\partial q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{b^2}{6} \nabla^2 q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s) - W(\mathbf{r}, N - s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s), \quad q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1. \quad (52)$$

8.2 Single-chain partition function from propagators

The normalized single-chain partition function can be computed as

$$Q[w_A, w_B] = \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} q(\mathbf{r}, N). \quad (53)$$

8.3 Volume fractions

The standard SCFT expressions for the volume fractions are

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^{fN} ds q(\mathbf{r}, s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, N - s), \quad (54)$$

$$\phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{1}{N} \int_{fN}^N ds q(\mathbf{r}, s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, N - s). \quad (55)$$

9 Summary: SCFT equations in the total/exchange formalism

The SCFT (mean-field) solution is the saddle point $\{w_+^*, w_-^*\}$ (equivalently $\{\xi^*, w_-^*\}$) that minimizes the mean-field free energy (per chain) while being self-consistent with the single-chain statistics in the corresponding external fields.

(0) Mean-field free energy (evaluated at the saddle). With the effective Hamiltonian $\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-]$ in Eq. (34), the mean-field free energy follows from the saddle-point approximation $Z_c \approx \mathcal{N} \exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+^*, w_-^*])$:

$$A = -k_B T \ln Z_c \approx \mathcal{H}[w_+^*, w_-^*] + (\text{field-independent constants}). \quad (56)$$

It is often convenient to quote the dimensionless free energy per chain (dropping constants that do not affect the saddle):

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{\rho_0}{n\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (w_-^*(\mathbf{r}))^2 - i \frac{\rho_0}{n} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+^*(\mathbf{r}) \quad (+ \text{constants}). \quad (57)$$

Equivalently, using the real pressure field $\xi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}$ at the saddle,

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{\rho_0}{n\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (w_-(\mathbf{r}))^2 - \frac{\rho_0}{n} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \xi^*(\mathbf{r}) \quad (+ \text{constants}). \quad (58)$$

The last term enforces incompressibility and acts as a Lagrange-multiplier contribution if we further defined

$$\mu_+(\mathbf{r}) = N\xi^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad (59)$$

$$\mu_-(\mathbf{r}) = Nw_-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (60)$$

Using $\rho_0 = nN/V$, Eq. (58) can be rewritten compactly in terms of μ_{\pm} as

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{(\mu_-(\mathbf{r}))^2}{\chi_{AB}N} - \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (+ \text{constants}). \quad (61)$$

The μ_+ term plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier enforcing incompressibility; its spatial average may be fixed by a gauge choice (e.g. $\int_V d\mathbf{r} \mu_+ = 0$).

(i) Species fields in the μ_{\pm} representation. At the saddle, define the dimensionless species fields

$$\mu_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv Nw_A^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mu_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv Nw_B^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad (62)$$

which are related to μ_{\pm}^* by

$$\mu_A(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) - \mu_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (63)$$

$$\mu_B(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) + \mu_-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (64)$$

Equivalently,

$$w_A^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N}\mu_A(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N}\mu_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (65)$$

(ii) Modified diffusion equations (propagators) in a unit contour variable. Introduce the normalized contour variable $t \equiv s/N \in [0, 1]$ and the radius of gyration $R_g^2 \equiv Nb^2/6$. Define the piecewise contour potential

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}, t) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(\mathbf{r}), & 0 \leq t \leq f, \\ \mu_B(\mathbf{r}), & f \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

Then the forward and backward propagators satisfy

$$\frac{\partial q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = R_g^2 \nabla^2 q(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mu(\mathbf{r}, t) q(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad q(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1, \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{\partial q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = R_g^2 \nabla^2 q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mu(\mathbf{r}, 1-t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1. \quad (68)$$

(iii) Single-chain partition function.

$$Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] = \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} q(\mathbf{r}, 1). \quad (69)$$

(iv) Volume fractions generated by the propagators.

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \int_0^f dt q(\mathbf{r}, t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 1-t), \quad (70)$$

$$\phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \int_f^1 dt q(\mathbf{r}, t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 1-t). \quad (71)$$

(v) Saddle-point (self-consistency) conditions. The SCFT solution is obtained when the fields and densities are mutually consistent:

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 1, \quad (72)$$

$$\frac{2\mu_-(\mathbf{r})}{N\chi_{AB}} - \phi_A(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \quad (73)$$

Equations (63)–(64) and (67)–(71), together with (72)–(73), close the SCFT loop.